

Names and modalities for typing effect handlers

Leo White

Jane Street

Reasons to add side-effects

- ▶ Your language is pure
 - e.g. *Haskell*
- ▶ Your language doesn't have a particular side-effect
 - e.g. *concurrency*
- ▶ Your language doesn't track a particular side-effect
 - e.g. *untracked exceptions*

OCaml 5

- ▶ OCaml 5 adds support for algebraic effects
- ▶ Intended to provide support for control effects – especially concurrency
- ▶ OCaml also has unchecked exceptions

Algebraic effects

```
let choose () : bool =
  perform Or

let fail () : 'a =
  perform Fail

let handle_choice f =
  match f () with
  | x -> [x]
  | effect Or, k ->
    continue k true @ continue k false
  | effect Fail -> []
```

Summary

- ▶ Managing effectful computations is about managing open terms
- ▶ Effects should have names independent of their types
- ▶ Modalities that track locality are useful for managing effects

Effectful computations are open terms

Algebraic data

<data>

Algebraic data

```
Branch(<data>,
       Branch(Leaf(<data>)),
       Branch(Leaf(<data>), <data>))
```

Algebraic effects

```
let x ← <computation> in  
let y ← <computation> in  
return <data>
```

Algebraic effects

```
Or(let x ← <computation> in
  let y ← Or(<computation>, Fail()) in
    return <data>,
  <computation>)
```

Algebraic effects

```
let x ← or(c1, c2) in  
c3
```

Algebraic effects

```
Or(let x ← C1 in  
    C3,  
    let x ← C2 in  
    C3)
```

Generic operations

$\text{Or}(C_1, C_2)$

can be expressed as:

```
let b ← Or(return true, return false) in  
if b then C1 else C2
```

Handling effects

```
Or(let x ← C1 in  
  let y ← Or(C2, Fail()) in  
  return D,  
  C3)
```

Handling effects

```
Or(let x ← C1 in  
  Or(let y ← C2 in  
    return D,  
    Fail()),  
  C3)
```

Handling effects

Substitute:

$$C \Rightarrow \text{let } res \leftarrow C \text{ in} \\ \text{return } [res]$$

$$\text{Or}(C_1, C_2) \Rightarrow \text{let } fst \leftarrow C_1 \text{ in} \\ \text{let } snd \leftarrow C_2 \text{ in} \\ \text{return } (fst @ snd)$$

$$\text{Fail}() \Rightarrow \text{return } []$$

Handling effects

```
Or(let x ← C1 in  
  Or(let y ← C2 in  
      return D,  
      Fail()),  
  C3)
```

⇒

```
let fst ←  
  let x ← C1 in  
  let fst ←  
    let res ←  
      let y ← C2 in  
      return D  
    in  
    return [res]  
  in  
  let snd ← return [] in  
  return (fst @ snd)  
in  
let snd ←  
  let res ← C3 in  
  return [res]  
in  
fst @ snd
```

Composing effects

```
Or(Print["hello"](  
    Or(c1, Print["goodbye"](Fail()))),  
    c2)
```

Composing effects

First substitute Print

```
Or(Print["hello"](  
  Or(C1,  
    Print["goodbye"] (Fail()))),  
 C2)
```

⇒

```
let log ← ref [] in  
let res ←  
  Or(log := "hello :: !log;  
    Or(C1,  
      log := "goodbye" :: !log;  
      Fail()),  
    C2)  
in  
return (res, !log)
```

Composing effects

Then substitute Or/Fail

```
let log ← ref [] in
let res ←
  Or(log := "hello :: !log;
    Or(c1,
        log := "goodbye" :: !log;
        Fail()),
    c2)
in
return (res, !log)
```

⇒

```
let res ←
  let log ← ref [] in
  let res ←
    log := "hello :: !log;
    c1
  in
  return (res, !log)
in
return (Some res)
```

Accidental variable capture

```
let rec find p = function
| [] -> perform Fail
| x :: xs -> if p x then x else find p xs

let find_opt p l =
  match find p l with
  | x -> Some x
  | effect Fail -> None

find_opt (fun _ -> perform Fail) l
```

Scope extrusion

```
match (fun () -> perform Read) with
| effect Read, k -> continue k v
| x -> x
```

Effects should have names

Approaches to effects vs. approaches to variables

```
match ... perform Foo ... with
| ... -> ...
| effect Foo -> ...
```

vs.

```
let foo = ... in
... foo ...
```

Approaches to effects vs. approaches to variables

- ▶ Monads:

```
2.5 ** (the_thing + 5)
```

- ▶ Monad transformers:

```
the_thing
```

```
** (the_other_thing + the_other_other_thing)
```

- ▶ Naive algebraic effects or MTL:

```
the_float ** (the_int + 5)
```

- ▶ Naive algebraic effects with *shift*:

```
the_float ** (the_int + the_other_int)
```

Names

```
type 'a exn = effect
| Raise : 'a -> .

let find p = function
| [] -> perform not_found Raise ()
| x :: xs -> if p x then x else find p xs
```

Names

From this:

```
[ unit exn;  
    int state;  
    string state ]
```

To this:

```
[ not_found : unit exn;  
  counter : int state;  
  log : string state ]
```

Renamings

$$[a_1 : x_1; a_2 : x_2; \dots; a_n : x_n; r \quad / \quad b_1 : x_i; b_2 : x_j; \dots; b_m : x_k; r]$$

Renamings

```
let find_opt p l =
  match
    find
    (fun x -> effect [not_found:_; r / r] p x)
    l
  with
  | x -> Some x
  | effect not_found Raise () -> None
```

Abstracting effects

```
module My_effect : sig  
  
  type t : effect  
  
  val do_thing : unit -> int [ my : t ]  
  val handle :  
    (unit -> 'a [ my : t]) -> 'a  
  
end = struct  
  
  type t = int reader  
  
  ...  
end
```

Modalities that track locality

Approach 1: Ignore the problem

Effects in OCaml 5

```
# let () = perform (Set 5);;
```

Exception: Stdlib.Effect.Unhandled(Set(5))

Unchecked exceptions in many languages

```
# let () = raise Not_found;;
```

Exception: Not_found

Approach 2: Effect contexts

Arrows or computations annotated with an effect context

```
int -[counter : 'a state; async : async]-> int
```

An empty context corresponds to a closed term

```
int -[]-> int
```

Effect polymorphism

```
val map :  
  ('a -[ 'p ]-> 'b) -> 'a list -[ 'p ]-> 'b list
```

Approach 3: (Weak) Higher-order abstract syntax

Higher-order abstract syntax

$$\begin{aligned}\text{lam} &: (\text{tm} \rightarrow \text{tm}) \rightarrow \text{tm} \\ \text{app} &: \text{tm} \rightarrow \text{tm} \rightarrow \text{tm}\end{aligned}$$

Global modality for closed terms

- ▶ $\Box \text{tm}$
- ▶ $\Box(\text{tm} \rightarrow \text{tm})$

Approach 3: (Weak) Higher-order abstract syntax

- ▶ An unadorned (`int → int`) arrow corresponds to an open term. It might perform any effects in the current scope.
- ▶ An arrow under the global modality ($\Box(\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$) corresponds to a closed term. It performs no effects.
- ▶ The body of a handler takes the generic operation as a parameter
- ▶ Values that leave a handler must be closed

Local and global modes in OCaml

Values are either local or global

```
val with_file :  
  string -> (file @ local -> 'a) -> 'a
```

Local values cannot escape from their enclosing region

```
with_file "filename" (fun file -> file)  
Error: this value escapes its region
```

Values built from local values are also local

```
with_file "filename"  
  (fun file ->  
    let x = (file, 5) in (fun () -> x))  
Error: this value escapes its region
```

Approach 3: (Weak) Higher-order abstract syntax

```
type ('e : effect) handler

let get (h : 'a reader handler) =
  perform h Read

val get : 'a reader handler @ local -> 'a

let handle_reader f v =
  match h -> f h with
  | x -> x
  | effect Read, k -> continue k v

val handle_reader :
  ('a reader handler @ local -> 'b)
  -> 'a -> 'b
```

Avoids effect polymorphism

```
val map :  
  ('a -> 'b) @ local -> 'a list -> 'b list
```

Approach 4: Contextual modal types

Contextual modal type theory^{1,2}

- ▶ $[x : \text{tm}; y : \text{tm}] \text{ tm}$
- ▶ $\lfloor t \rfloor_{\{x \setminus s; y \setminus r\}}$

Move between HOAS and contexts as needed

¹Aleksandar Nanevski, Frank Pfenning, and Brigitte Pientka. “Contextual modal type theory”. (2008).

²Brigitte Pientka and Ulrich Schöpp. “Semantical Analysis of Contextual Types.”. (2020).

Modal effects for OCaml

Effect contexts on schemes

Traditional approach:

$$\forall \alpha. \tau_1 \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \tau_2$$

Effect contexts part of types.

Alternative approach:

$$\forall \alpha. \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 [\Sigma]$$

Effect contexts part of *type schemes*

Effect contexts on schemes

Typing judgement has two effect contexts

$$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau ? \Sigma_1 ! \Sigma_2$$

Function abstraction

$$\frac{\Gamma; x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2 ? \epsilon ! \Sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 ? \Sigma ! \epsilon}$$

$\vdash \text{perform var Read}$

$: 'a ? [] ! [\text{var} : 'a reader]$

$\vdash (\text{fun } () \rightarrow \text{perform var Read})$

$: \text{unit} \rightarrow 'a ? [\text{var} : 'a reader] ! []$

Function application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 ? \Sigma_1 ! \Sigma_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 ? \epsilon ! \Sigma_3}{\Gamma \vdash f \ e : \tau_2 ? \epsilon ! \Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2 \sqcup \Sigma_3}$$

```
⊢ (fun () -> perform var Read) ()  
: 'a ? [] ! [var : 'a reader]
```

Function application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 ? \Sigma_1 ! \Sigma_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 ? \epsilon ! \Sigma_3}{\Gamma \vdash f \ e : \tau_2 ? \epsilon ! \Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2 \sqcup \Sigma_3}$$

```
val run_global : (unit -> 'a) -> 'a
```

```
run_global (fun () -> perform var Read)
```

Error: expected expression with effect []

Function application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \tau_1 @ \text{local} \rightarrow \tau_2 ? \Sigma_1 ! \Sigma_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 ? \Sigma_3 ! \Sigma_4}{\Gamma \vdash f \ e : \tau_2 ? \epsilon ! \Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2 \sqcup \Sigma_3 \sqcup \Sigma_4}$$

```
val iter :  
    ('a -> unit) @ local -> 'a list -> unit
```

```
|- List.iter (fun s -> perform log Write(s)) l  
: unit ? [] ! [log : string writer]
```

Function application

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \sigma[\Sigma_1] \rightarrow \tau ? \Sigma_2 ! \Sigma_3 \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma ? \Sigma_1 ! \Sigma_4}{\Gamma \vdash f\ e : \tau ? \epsilon ! \Sigma_2 \sqcup \Sigma_3 \sqcup \Sigma_4}$$

```
let handle_reader (f : _ [var : 'b reader]) v =
  match f () with
  | x -> x
  | effect var Read, k -> continue k v

val handle_reader :
  ((() -> 'a [var : 'b reader])
  -> 'b -> 'a)
```

Summary

- ▶ Managing effectful computations is about managing open terms
- ▶ Effects should have names independent of their types
- ▶ Modalities that track locality are useful for managing effects